1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON (CSBN 88143) Chief, Civil Division MELISSA K.B. SLADDEN (CSBN 203307) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6962 FAX: (415) 436-6748 melissa.sladden@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Defendants	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	SAIVI KAIVEISCO DIVISION	
13	FRANK PETKOVICH,) No. C-11-05501 SC Related Case No. C 12- 02116 SC	
14	Plaintiff, STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH	
15	v.) PREJUDICE AND REQUEST FOR REMAND TO STATE COURT AND	
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE PRESIDIO TRUST, AND DOES 1-100 SAME	
17	inclusive,	
	Defendant.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	[CTIDUII ATION OF DICMICCAL WITH PREHIDICE AND REQUIECT FOR REMAND AND IRROPOSED]	
	[STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AND REQUEST FOR REMAND AND [PROPOSED]	

The plaintiff Frank Petkovich, ("Plaintiff") and the federal defendant United States of America and the Presidio Trust ("Federal Defendants") the defendant Reed and Graham, Inc. ("Reed and Graham"), the defendant Graham Contractors, Inc. ("Graham Contractors") and the defendant Granite Rock Company ("Granite Rock") by and through their designated counsel stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the above-captioned action under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2671 *et seq.*;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and the Federal Defendants wish to avoid any further litigation and controversy and to dismiss and release fully any and all claims and issues that have been raised, or could have been raised in this action, which includes District Court Case Nos. C-11-05501 SC and C-12-02116 SC, which have transpired prior to the execution of this stipulation against the Federal Defendants;

WHEREAS, the Plaintiff and non-Federal Defendants seek to have the action remanded to the proper State Court once the Federal Action is dismissed with prejudice and request that the Court issue an order regarding the same;

WHEREAS, the Federal Defendants will not be named parties in the remanded State Court action and shall not be named a party in any future action that arises directly or indirectly from the alleged acts or omissions by the Federal Defendants that gave rise to the above-captioned actions C-11-05501 SC and C-12-02116 SC;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED as follows:

The related District Court actions case Nos. C-11-05501 SC and C-12-02116 SC are hereby dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(ii) as against the Federal Defendants only. The Plaintiff and Federal Defendants shall bear their own fees and costs. By this stipulation of dismissal the Plaintiff dismisses and releases any claim against the Federal Defendants only arising directly or indirectly from the alleged acts or omissions by the Federal Defendants that gave rise to the above-captioned related actions C-11-

¹The Federal Defendant is specially appearing for the purposes of filing this stipulation. [STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AND REQUEST FOR REMAND AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - CIVIL CASE NO. C-11-05501 SC AND RELATED CASE NO C-12-02116 SC]

	II		
1	05501 SC and C-12-02116 SC. The parties further stipulate and request that the action C-12-		
2	02116 SC be remanded to San Francisco Superior Court. However, the Federal Defendants will		
3	no longer be parties to the remanded action having had any claim arising directly or indirectly		
4	from their alleged acts or omissions that gave rise to the above-captioned related actions C-11-		
5	05501 SC and C-12-02116 SC released and dismissed with prejudice. Moreover, by signing this		
6	stipulation, which includes a request for remand to the proper State Court, the Federal		
7	Defendants shall not be deemed to consent to the jurisdiction of the State Court.		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
9	DATED: June 1, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
10		MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney	
11		/s/ Melissa Sladden	
12	DATED: June 1, 2012	MELISSA K.B. SLADDEN Assistant United States Attorney	
13		LAWRENCE BRAGMAN	
14		Attorney at Law /s/ Lawrence Bragman	
15	DATED: June 1, 2012	LAWRENCE BRAGMAN	
16		Attorney for Plaintiff	
17		NORMAN LA FORCE Law Offices of Jeffrey F. Paccassi	
18		/s/ Norman La Force	
19	DATED: June 1, 2012	NORMAN LA FORCE Attorneys for Defendant Reed and Graham, Inc.	
20		CYNTHIA SHAMBAUGH	
21		Law Offices of Beverly E. Narayan /s/ Cynthia Shambaugh	
22	DATED: June 1, 2012	CYNTHIA SHAMBAUGH	
23		Attorneys for Defendant Graham Contractors, Inc.	
24		MATTHEW GIAMPAOLI LaMore, Brazier, Riddle & Giampaoli	
25		/s/ Matthew Giampaoli	
26		MATTHEW GIAMPAOLI Attorney for Defendant and Cross-Defendant	
27		Granite Rock Company	
28			
	 ISTIPLII ATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREHIDI	CE AND REQUEST FOR REMAND AND [PROPOSED]	

[STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AND REQUEST FOR REMAND AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - CIVIL CASE NO. C-11-05501 SC AND RELATED CASE NO C-12-02116 SC]

-{PROPOSED| ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the related District Court actions case Nos. C-11-05501 SC and C-12-02116 SC are hereby dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(ii) as against the Federal Defendants only. The Plaintiff and Federal Defendants shall bear their own fees and costs. By this stipulation of dismissal the Plaintiff dismisses and releases any claim against the Federal Defendants only arising directly or indirectly from the alleged acts or omissions by the Federal Defendants that gave rise to the above-captioned related actions C-11-05501 SC and C-12-02116 SC. The District Court Action C-12-02116 SC shall be remanded to San Francisco Superior Court. The Federal Defendants are not parties to the remanded action having had any claim arising directly or indirectly from their alleged acts or omissions that gave rise to the above-captioned related actions C-11-05501 SC and C-12-02116 SC released and dismissed with prejudice. By signing this stipulation, the Federal Defendants shall not be deemed to consent to the jurisdiction of the State Court.

15 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 6/7/12



[STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AND REQUEST FOR REMAND AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - CIVIL CASE NO. C-11-05501 SC AND RELATED CASE NO C-12-02116 SC]